

* THE COUNCIL FOR TOBACCO RESEARCH-U.S.A.
CONFIDENTIAL

September 24, 1965

MEMORANDUM

TO: The subcommittee that originally considered the application of Lawrence F. Quigley, Jr., D.M.D. (\$406), Drs. Lynch, Reimann, Kotin and Little.

FROM: Robert C. Hockett

SUBJECT: New informal inquiry concerning possibility of a modest grant to support a subsidiary part of their study of reversed smoking in the Caribbean.

You will probably all remember this proposal to study the phenomenon of "reverse smoking" including its pathological effects. The original budget estimate was \$11,725 and the Board was sufficiently intrigued with the matter at its December 7, 1963 meeting to authorize a site visit by Drs. Wilson, Reimann and Hockett, which was made on February 21, 1964. This show of possible interest may have been responsible for the fact Quigley and his colleagues promptly submitted a revised budget including fees for most of the personnel involved, to a total of \$34,170. The proposal was considered on March 7, 1964 and denied.

Our letter of notification (copy enclosed) indicated that expenditures could not be made at this level for the project, but left the door somewhat open for any new and perhaps more modest proposal in the future.

A new inquiry from Dr. Quigley was received in March 1965. A copy of this is enclosed with my reply of September 7th, and Quigley's response of September 15, 1965.

The question before the house is whether we should encourage Quigley to submit an application for either or both of the relatively modest studies briefly outlined in his letter at \$4,314 and \$7,392 respectively, without salaries for any of the senior personnel.

I would appreciate your advice on his question whether the time he might invest in preparing a proposal would be justified by the chances of favorable action.

A copy of his latest paper is enclosed. This confirms Stephano's claim that normal cigarette smoking does not raise temperatures in the oral cavity.

Enclosures:

R. C.H.

1. Dr. Reimann's site visit comments of February 28, 1964
2. Quigley's budget letter of March 6, 1964
3. Our denial letter of March 18, 1964
4. Quigley letter of March 23, 1965
5. Hockett Letter of September 7, 1965
6. Quigley letter of September 15, 1965
7. Reprint - Quigley, Cobb and Hunt. Arch. Oral Biol. 10, 35 (1965).

1005101355